

# **TO STRANGLE IN EMBRACE**

The Hidden Agenda

by

Hilmar von Campe

Vladimir Bukovsky and Pavel Strolov have analyzed the developments which led to the European Community and the purpose for which it was created. These are quite different from the official reasoning by which naïve European voters were tricked into believing that they are part of a historical achievement of European unity and destiny. In reality they embarked on a voyage into totalitarian slavery. They are pawns in the merger between the heirs of two godless revolutions – the French and the Soviet upheavals.

Americans should register that the approach of Wester-European leaders towards that of the Soviet leadership is similar to the American approach towards relations with Russia, China and also the Palestinian Authority, which I shall describe at the end of this essay. Developments have their roots in the refusal of our leaders to call a spade a spade. It is the complete lack of differentiation with regards to the moral order at the formation and the present state of the societies involved which lead to dangerous consequences in policies based on different worldviews. It enables the communists/socialists at the height of their political and economic disintegration to impose their views and their procedures on the West which, led by treacherous or incompetent leaders, voluntarily stumbles into slavery. “We shall conquer the world not with atom bombs but with our ideas, our brains and our doctrine.” These were the words of Andre Vyshinsky several decades earlier. He was Soviet Foreign Minister under Stalin.

I can only warn President Bush to be careful when he calls the Russian President, Vladimir Putin, a lifelong KGB agent, his friend. The KGB now controls 80% of the leadership positions in Russia, Bukovsky told me, when I visited him few weeks ago in England. A Russian lawyer who only recently had settled in the United States answering my question what he thought of Putin stated, “once KGB always KGB.” I might add, “once Gestapo always Gestapo.”

It is strange that I never saw or heard of any American intelligence report pointing out the Soviet charade about the new “democratic” Russia, in which the whole Communist nomenclature takes part. Should there be a similar flaw as there was in the Iraq intelligence? And what about our media? They do not report on the reality of the Russian power shifts nor do they report on the progress in the 18 Iraqi provinces where there is no terrorism but great progress.

Vladimir Bukovsky is a Soviet author. He is a former leading Soviet dissident and human rights activist and was one of the first to expose the use of psychiatry against political prisoners in the USSR. He spent a total of twelve years in Soviet prisons, labor camps and in psikhushkas, forced treatment psychiatric hospitals used by the regime as special prisons. 1971 Bukovsky smuggled to the West over 150 pages documenting abuse of psychiatric institutions for political reasons in the USSR. In December of 1976, while imprisoned, he was exchanged for imprisoned former Chilean Communist leader Luis

Corvalan. Bukovsky has been living in Cambridge, England, since 1976. He received a PhD in Biology and has written several books.

In 1992, after the dissolution of the Soviet Union, the Russian government invited him to serve as an expert to testify at the trial conducted by the Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation to prove that the CPSU was unconstitutional. To prepare for his testimony, Bukovsky requested and was granted access to a large number of documents from the Soviet archives. Using a small handheld scanner and a laptop computer, he managed to secretly scan many documents (some with high security clearance), including KGB reports to the Central Committee, and smuggle the files to the West. It took two years and a team of assistants to merge the pieces together. As this incident attracted international attention, Bukovsky was designated persona non grata in the “new” Russia since 1996.

All the following quotations are registered in Soviet documents.

On March 26<sup>th</sup> of 1987 the Soviet Politburo made a decision on the USSR’s future policy in Western Europe. Gorbachev formulated the gist of this policy briefly and clearly, like a battle order:

*To Strangle in Embrace*

This concept had already a specific name among the inner circle of the Soviet leadership – “Common European Home”. Soon it became known to the whole world. At that Politburo meeting “Common European Home” was given highest priority. Gorbachev strictly prohibited the making of any political decision without taking it into account. The policy included with the words of Gorbachev, *not to split Western Europe from the USA but to oust the USA out of Europe*. The structure and Constitution of the European Community are by Soviet design. The structure and the Constitution of the United States are by design of God and based on the Holy Bible.

Gorbachev explained 1989 to Jacques Chirac then still Mayor of Paris, what his concept meant for Europe, *“there are certain realities: we have two systems, two military and political blocs, two sets of values innate to each of us. Now, let’s try to move the whole process forward on the basis of these realities and, along with political contacts, let us set connections between military and political blocks, economic communities, cultural centers, capital cities etc. All of this, as we believe, would create a new situation, when the trappings of the Cold War and its alienation would be eliminated step by step”*

With other words, the two blocs would merge into a federation of European States with the Soviet Union without any change as equal partner.” *Judge for yourself,”* Gorbachev said to Chirac, *“what would happen if you tell us: transform to what we wish you to be, while we shall wait and see. We in turn, would address the same request to you. Naturally, nothing good would come out of that. Therefore we need different approaches.”* Chirac was satisfied and made Gorbachev’s project his own, but for different reasons. He is too corrupt to see through the surface.

Two years later the Soviet Union didn't exist any more. The "new" democratic Russia took its place. The democratic label made things easier for the conspiritors. .

Many Western leaders were involved and met with Gorbachev in Moscow and other places to discuss the implementation of the concept of the Common European Home. They are named in Bukovsky's essay with their opinions. All of them accepted Gorbachev's totalitarian Soviet "set of values", which Putin slowly has re-established in Russia by now. And they were ready to ally themselves with such a state. I consider all of them incompetent and incapable to recognize what is up and down.

Those leaders are: From Germany: Willi Brandt, Chancellor and Chairman of the Socialist International (His personal assistant was a Soviet spy who provided him with women), H.J. Vogel, chairman of the Social Democratic Party, Egon Bahr, top socialist policy maker and most likely a Soviet agent, Hans Dietrich Genscher, foreign Minister (according to news magazine "Der Spiegel" an agent of influence, controlled by Aleksandr Bessmertnykh with the code name 'Tulpe') Erich Honnecker, East German Communist leader. From France: Francois Mitterand, President, Giscard D'Estaing, former President and later head of the commission which drafted the European Constitution, G. Berthoin co-chairman of the Trilateral Commission, J. Delors, Chairman of the Commission of the European Communities, Prime Minister Michelle Rocard, Foreign Minister Roland Dumas, Minister of Economy Pierre Berezogovoy. From Britain: Prime Minister John Major, Labor MPs Ken Livingstone, Ken Coats, Ken Collins. From Spain: Prime Minister Felipe Gonzalez, Foreign Minister F. Fernandez Ordonez. From Italy Prime Minister J. Andreotti, Alessandro Natta, Secretary General of the Communist Party. From Holland: Prime Minister Lubbers. From the United States: Henry Kissinger who spoke in the name of the Trilateral Commission.

The motives of these men, however, were different. The Socialists came to get Gorbachev's advice, if not instructions, on how to create together a Socialist Europe. The Conservatives had the same concept as had Chamberlain and Daladier half a century earlier, when they tried to bind Hitler to peaceful cooperation: peace and wellbeing for themselves. They (or the American leaders, I add) will never find a "negotiated solution to the East West Confrontation, without even understanding its nature," says Bukovsky. The confrontation has not disappeared because of the lies of Gorbachev and his allies. It has only taken a different form.

*"The success of perestroika means only one thing," stated the Spanish Foreign Minister F. Fernandez Ordonez to Gorbachev, "the success of the socialist revolution in contemporary conditions of the world community." Gorbachev answered him, "Today we, the communists, are working to realize the potential of socialism as fully as possible, through perestroika. But I am sure that the success of our search would enrich the socialist values, which are common for us all. All of us would be able to move forward significantly faster I suppose; the Socialist International should be interested in that no less than ourselves. Eventually, we will manage to sort out which model of society is best to meet the yearning of working people, their hopes for justice; this is something you and we surely can work out." He is quite sure that his form with him on top will prevail*

The purpose of the Communist and Socialist Internationals can easily be seen: a socialist world without God. They want to take the place of God and set the rules. Islamic Terrorism is a religious by-product of Marxism/Leninism, driven by the same hatred, envy, and lust for power. I see it as surrogate, dangerous by itself, but meant to occupy and weaken the enemy. It reminds me of the way Stalin used Hitler as icebreaker.

European Socialist parties and governments first tried to keep the Soviet Union alive. Willi Brandt, for example, undertook to put pressure on the Baltic States to remain in the Soviet orbit. When Russia evolved after the Soviet demise they promised Gorbachev to help him economically in any way they could. German Chancellor Schroeder traveled to Moscow and canceled Soviet/Russian debt to Germany of about \$5 billion in spite of the fact that he had to borrow the money and could not balance his own budget. But he knows as the others do that without the Soviet/Russian communists no Socialist Europe will exist. Socialists don't care for the people but only for their own power.

"The substance of the directives under which we operate is that we shall use our grant-making power to alter life in the United States so that we can be comfortably merged with the Soviet Union," explained the president of the Ford Foundation, Rowan Gaither, in the year 1953 to Norman Dodd, Staff Director of the Congressional Committee to Investigate Tax Exempt Foundations, known as the Reece Committee in recognition of its chairman Congressman Carroll Reece. Dodd had collected overwhelming evidence that the vast wealth of the foundations was being funneled into activities that promoted a socialist Anti-American world view. The objectives of these foundations had little to do with charity, good works, or philanthropy but were aimed at the creation of a world-wide collectivist state which would be ruled from behind the scenes by those same interests which control the foundations.

The above is clear enough for the point I am trying to make. I cannot go in this framework into the whole story. But there is plenty of information available in the internet.

When conservative politicians ally themselves with immoral partners whose lack of ethics, or even criminal record, they disregard, they may reap short term benefits. And when they push others into compliance with their plan involved it will weaken their own moral basis and the negative backlash on a long term basis will be severe.